



# Research Paper Stakeholders' Perspectives on Rehabilitation Services in KwaZulu-Natal Province, South Africa: A Mixedmethod Study

Senzelwe M. Mazibuko1\* 💿, Thayananthee Nadasan1 💿, Pragashnie Govender1 💿

1. Department of Physiotherapy, School of Health Sciences, College of Health Sciences, Westville Campus, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Berea, South Africa.



Copyright: © 2024 The Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

#### Article info:

Received: 12 Mar 2024 Accepted: 13 Apr 2024 Available Online: 21 Jul 2024

# ABSTRACT

**Background and Objectives:** South Africa is committed to enhancing rehabilitation services by 2030 through the National Rehabilitation Policy and the United Nations Convention on the rights of persons with disabilities. However, limited research focuses on rehabilitation services in KwaZulu-Natal (KZN) Province, South Africa. This study aims to provide insights from stakeholders on rehabilitation services in KZN Province focused on infrastructure, referrals, human resources, and multidisciplinary practices.

**Methods:** Using mixed methods, we conducted focus group discussions, interviews, and surveys involving 99 stakeholders, including rehabilitation practitioners, district and provincial managers, and social development representatives from eThekwini, AmaJuba, and King Cetshwayo in South Africa. Descriptive statistics and thematic analysis were used for quantitative and qualitative data.

**Results:** Public institutions reported inadequate referral pathways (2.9 out of 5) compared to private institutions (3.4 out of 5). Acute rehabilitation referrals primarily targeted secondary or tertiary facilities. Disjointed pathways, a lack of protocols, delayed referrals due to various factors and insufficient staff were identified. Physiotherapists were disproportionally more prominent, while social workers, psychologists and bio-kineticists were scarce. Both public (93%) and private (73%) care exhibited high doctor-to-patient ratios. Rehabilitation service disciplines were limited and fragmented, especially in rural areas. Thirty-four respondents (81%) stated no designated rehabilitation services units in their respective institutions.

**Conclusion:** Rehabilitation services, though present at all care levels in KZN, mostly begin at tertiary levels. Local-level rehabilitation is non-existent, with the public healthcare system relying on community rehabilitation workers. Referral pathways require standardization, especially at the local level. Enhancing primary healthcare's rehabilitation focus by bolstering workforce recruitment can significantly improve multidisciplinary practices. Expanding intermediate care facility licenses can alleviate system strain on KZN's public health sector.

Keywords: Infrastructure, Referral pathways, Human resources, Multidisciplinary practice, Rehabilitation services, South Africa



Cite this article as Mazibuko SM, Nadasan T, Govender P. Stakeholders' Perspectives on Rehabilitation Services in KwaZulu-Natal Province, South Africa: A Mixed-method Study. Function and Disability Journal. 2024; 7:E305.1. http://dx.doi.org/10.32598/ fdj.7.305.1

doi http://dx.doi.org/10.32598/fdj.7.305.1

# \* Corresponding Author:

#### Senzelwe M. Mazibuko

Address: Department of Physiotherapy, School of Health Sciences, College of Health Sciences, Westville Campus, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Berea, South Africa. Tel: +35 (792) 4034, +82 (366) 3594 E-mail: senzelwe@icloud.com



# What is "already known" in this topic:

Rehabilitation services in KwaZulu-Natal face significant challenges, including inadequate infrastructure, disjointed referral pathways, and a shortage of multidisciplinary professionals. South Africa's National Rehabilitation Policy and the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities aim to enhance access to rehabilitation services, but implementation remains limited in resource-constrained settings. Previous studies highlight the imbalance and fragmentation of rehabilitation services, particularly in rural areas, leading to inequitable access and poor health outcomes.

#### - What this article adds:

It provides comprehensive insights from 99 stakeholders, including rehabilitation practitioners and managers, highlighting specific gaps in referral pathways and human resources. It demonstrates the disparities between public and private sectors in terms of rehabilitation service availability and quality, emphasizing the need for standardized referral protocols. 3. It recommends the establishment of intermediate care facilities and a greater focus on primary healthcare to improve multidisciplinary practices and alleviate the strain on the public healthsystem.

# Introduction

espite South Africa being a signatory to the national rehabilitation policy [1] and the United Nations convention on the rights of persons with disabilities [2], access to

rehabilitation services remains a challenge, particularly in resource-limited settings [3-8]. Specifically, in the KwaZulu-Natal (KZN) Province, South Africa, patients face difficulties in accessing rehabilitation services due to limited infrastructure, disjointed referral pathways, lack of patient involvement, transport costs, shortage of rehabilitation service disciplines, and geographically inaccessible institutions [5, 8]. The public health system's capacity to provide rehabilitation services in KZN is limited and does not adequately address the population's needs [5, 8, 9].

As healthcare services improve and the population lives longer, non-communicable diseases, such as stroke, diabetes, and cerebrospinal conditions are increasing [8, 10, 11]. However, these conditions are not being diagnosed at admission, and there is a lack of standardized rehabilitation service treatment plans [8, 12]. Providing appropriate rehabilitation services requires a multidisciplinary approach involving various healthcare professionals, namely physiotherapists, occupational therapists, social workers, psychologists, speech therapists, audiologists and nutritionists [4, 6, 13-19]. However, rehabilitation service teams in South Africa are imbalanced and incomplete due to a lack of funding for personnel [5, 13, 15-18]. Moreover, rehabilitation services infrastructure in the form of designated rehabilitation service units at district hospitals is almost non-existent [3-6, 9]. Such designated rehabilitation service units can be described as intermediate care facilities that restore the functional status of rehabilitation service patients through a multidisciplinary practice at lower-intensity care than an acute institution [20].

There is also a lack of informative research on indicators for appropriate rehabilitation services development, and no standard operating procedure guides the provision of rehabilitation services in KZN [9]. Referral pathways are irregular, leading to inadequate follow-up and avoidable complications for clients [3-6, 9]. Additionally, the field of rehabilitation services lacks innovation, with human resources being paper-driven and lacking preparedness for the fourth industrial revolution [21]. The South African government faces resource challenges in improving the healthcare system, including fiscal shortages, constrained innovation, stagnant technological advancement, and poor human resources for healthcare [20, 22, 23]. As a result, the increased prevalence of non-communicable diseases in rural areas puts pressure on the rehabilitation services system [3-6].

Biomedical practitioners at the clinical level focus on patient stabilization and are inappropriately aware of rehabilitation services [3-6]. As such, rehabilitation services data-capturing is nebulous and not meaningful. Rehabilitation service patients rely on traditional clinical practice that considers rehabilitation services as being separate from clinical care [3-6, 9]. Rehabilitation services patients are referred later than advisable



for recovery. Due to chronic shortages of rehabilitation staff, services are usually in urban areas, far from the patient's admission hospital. Rehabilitation service referral pathways lack a case-based system to categorize patients with similar clinical diagnoses to control costs (diagnosis-related groupers). This issue results in high costs for transport and long waiting times for sessions, resulting in fatigue and patients being lost in the system of the continuum of care [24].

In the South African government's quest to re-engineer primary healthcare [25, 26], rehabilitation services have been identified as an integral feature of a transformed public health system [9]. As a result, scientific evidence is essential to inform South Africa's Department of Health (DoH) in its quest. Therefore, this study was conducted to profile the status of rehabilitation service provision in South Africa regarding infrastructure, referral pathways, human resource practices, and multidisciplinary practices in KZN Province.

# **Materials and Methods**

#### Study design

The study utilized the viable system model (VSM) as its theoretical framework to analyze rehabilitation infrastructure, referrals, and multidisciplinary practices in KZN. VSM, a systems theory, emphasizes the importance of external regulation for organizational success. The study employed a concurrent mixed-methods design, combining qualitative (focus group discussions) and quantitative (cross-sectional survey) approaches. This design aimed to comprehensively describe current rehabilitation practices in KZN, focusing on infrastructure, referral pathways, human resources, and multidisciplinary practices.

#### Study population and sample description

The population included rehabilitation practitioners, district rehabilitation services managers, and policymakers from Amajuba District Municipality, King Cetshwayo District Municipality and the eThekwini Metropolis in KZN. Non-probability stratified and maximum variation purposive sampling were employed to recruit 99 participants. The qualitative component involved 57 participants, including practitioners, managers, and representatives from relevant departments. The quantitative component sampled 42 practitioners using the snowball method, representing different districts (Table 1).

#### **Data collection**

Data collection commenced after the COVID-19 period, with quantitative surveys distributed before qualitative focus groups. Separate interviews were conducted for managers and practitioners. Interview schedules were designed collaboratively and piloted for refinement. Data collection involved one-to-one interviews, focus groups, and surveys conducted over two months. For the qualitative data collection, interview schedules were designed to profile data specific to different districts and focused on the current status and practices of rehabilitation services. Trustworthiness was ensured through the researcher's extensive experience and the use of actual quotes from participants. Interviews were between 30 and 60 minutes. Two one-to-one interviews were conducted with social development representatives from the King Cetshwayo District. Two focus groups were conducted by the researcher with rehabilitation service practitioners from the Amajuba District Municipality and the King Cetshwayo District Municipality. Three focus groups were conducted by the researcher with rehabilitation services practitioners in the eThekwini Metro and the King Cetshwayo District Municipality. One focus group was held with 2 provincial health representatives. The duration of the focus groups was between 30 to 40 minutes. Regarding quantitative data collection, a survey tool designed by the researcher and supervisors, aimed to enhance qualitative data. It consisted of six sections covering demographic profiles, rehabilitation practice, referral pathways, facility information, quality control processes, and service delivery. Likert scales and closedended multiple-choice items were utilized (Appendix A).

#### **Data analysis**

Qualitative data were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify patterns and themes [27]. Quantitative data were captured using Microsoft Excel and analyzed using IBM SPSS software, version 24. The study ensured confirmability and dependability through an audit trail and the reflexivity of the researcher. Credibility was enhanced through data triangulation and thick description, ensuring the transferability of findings through consideration of context and participant experiences.

### Results

Demographic characteristics of the study participants

The participants included 73 women (74%) and 26 men (26%) males aged 23 to 56 years (Mean±SD, 32.1±8.6 years), as shown in Table 2. Ninety-nine par-



Table 1. Qualitative sample description for focus groups and one-on-one interviews in King Cetshwayo, eThekwini and Amajuba districts

Functional Level	District	Institution	Designation	No. of Participants
Implementation/service provision	Amajuba	Amajuba district hospital	Practitioners focus group	15
Implementation/service provision	Amajuba	Mother and child hospital	Practitioners focus group	9
Implementation/service provision	Amajuba	District health office	Rehabilitation manager interview	1
Control/monitoring and evaluation	eThekwini municipality	King Edward hospital	Practitioners focus group	7
Implementation/service provision	King Cetshwayo district	Private rehab centre	Practitioners focus group	9
Implementation/service provision	King Cetshwayo district	Ngwelezane hospital	Practitioners focus group	10
Control/monitoring and evaluation	King Cetshwayo district	District Office	Rehabilitation man- agers focus group interview	2
Control/monitoring and evaluation	King Cetshwayo district	Social development district office	Social development managers interviews	2
Policy/intelligent devel- opment	KZN province	Department of health provincial office	Provincial rehabilitation managers	2
Tot	al		57	

KZN: KwaZulu-Natal.

ticipants (78%) spoke isiZulu as their first language, with the remaining 22% spoke English, Afrikaans, or other languages. As their highest qualification, 87 participants (88%) held an Honours degree. Approximately 71% of participants (71) were from the public sector, with 2% (2) working in a hybrid situation.

#### **Rehabilitation infrastructure**

The majority (n=39, 93%) of the 42 rehabilitation services practitioners had access to assistive devices in their settings. Other rehabilitation services tools or facilities available as indicated by the 42 practitioners were plinths (n=36, 86%) and gym (n=34, 81%). Only 5/42(12%) and 4/42(10%) had access to hydrotherapy and suspension therapy respectively. Table 3 presents a joint display of quantitative and qualitative findings on rehabilitation services infrastructure.

#### **Referral pathways**

According to 41 people (98%) out of the 42 rehabilitation practitioners through which the practitioners received clients at their facilities, it was through a referral from other practitioners. Walk-in or self-referrals were confirmed by 33(79%) of the 42 participants. Table 4 presents a combined display of quantitative and qualitative findings on referral pathways in the three participating districts.

#### Multidisciplinary practice (MDT)

Physiotherapists were the rehabilitation services specialists with the most evidence at the facilities, with nearly 86% of the 42 study participants confirming as such. The availability of social workers was affirmed by 62% of the participants, and speech therapists by 60%. The least available were bio kineticists (24%). While the participants had a positive perception of the professional experience of rehabilitation services practitioners at their facilities (median=3.0, interquartile range [IQR]=0.5), they were significantly less satisfied with the extent to which practitioners were consulted on the recruitment of new staff (median=2.0, IQR=2.0) as well as regarding their salary packages (median=2.0, IQR=2.0). Overall satisfaction with human resources-related practices was average, with a median score of 2.8 (IQR=0.8) on the 4-point scale.

Concerning multidisciplinary practice, 42 participants (86%) indicated that they collaborated with occupational therapists the most, followed by social workers (83%). There were markedly fewer practitioners collaborating with community health workers (52%), rehabilitation

unction & Hisability

	Variables					
	Mean±SD	32.1±8.6				
450	Median	29.0				
Age	Min-max	23-56				
	Interquartile range	12.5				
Var	Variables No. (%)					
Conder	Female	73(74)				
Gender	Male	26(26)				
	IsiZulu	77(78)				
Home language	English	14(15)				
nome language	Afrikaans	5(5)				
	Other	3(3)				
	Three-year degree	5(5)				
Highest qualification	Four-year degree/Honours	87(88)				
righest qualification	Postgraduate diploma	2(2)				
	Master's degree	5(5)				
	Public	71(71)				
Health sector	Private	26(26)				
	Hybrid	2(2)				

#### Table 2. Demographics characteristics of quantitative study participants

assistants (45%), and community rehabilitation workers (24%). Table 5 presents a combined display of quantitative and qualitative findings on human resources for rehabilitation services in the three participating districts.

# Discussion

The study was conducted to provide an in-depth analysis of the state of rehabilitation services provision in South Africa, with a particular focus on infrastructure, referral pathways, and multidisciplinary practice in selected municipal areas in the KZN Province. The results of the study reveal critical insights into the existing challenges and disparities within the rehabilitation services landscape in the province.

Quantitative evidence for rehabilitation service infrastructure indicates the presence of equipment and rehabilitation amenities, but qualitative evidence indicates almost no designated rehabilitation service units. This issue shows that rehabilitation services remain mainly within hospitals. The biomedical approach to rehabilitation services persists, as only 14% of hospitals have designated units for rehabilitation services [5]. Practitioners lamented the lack of space to provide convenient rehabilitation services.

In my opinion, rehabilitation at this institution is not good because there is no support. Thus, we are unable to provide good rehabilitation services. For one, our workplace is not convenient for patients, we work with what we have to assist the patients. As I said, there is no space, and we cannot work properly (Practitioner A1).

Most institutions visited for this study are rural-based, and floor design plans for rehabilitation service practitioners are not conducive to allied patient care. Credence is lent to this conclusion that rehabilitation services require patients to walk from one section of the hospital to the other due to the dispersed nature of the locations,



**Table 3.** Combined display of results on rehabilitation infrastructure in eThekwini Metropolis, King Cetshwayo District Municipality and the

 Amajuba District Municipality

Theme		Quantitative (Survey)	Qualitative	Meta-inference
Rehabilitation infrastructure	Type of rehabilitation setting Rehabilitation infrastructure geographical location	King Cetshwayo district: Private: 18% rural; 82% urban/semi-urban Public: 64% rural; 36% urban/semi-urban Amajuba district: Private: 0 Public: 78% rural; 22% urban/semi-urban eThekwini: Private: 0 Public: 78% rural; 22% urban/semi-urban Total: Private: (21% urban, 5% rural); Public: (38% rural; 36% urban/semi-urban) King Cetshwayo district: Private: 55% Exclusively rehabilitation; 45% part of a hospital; Public: 18% Exclusively rehabilitation; 82% part of hospital. Amajuba district: Private: 0; Public: 22% Exclusively rehabilitation; 78% part of hospital. eThekwini: Private: 0; Public: 22% Exclusively rehabilitation; 78% part of hospital. Total: Private: 14% exclusively rehabilitation; 12% part of hospital); Public: (14% exclusively rehabilitation; 60% part of hospital).	Respondents viewed infrastruc- ture as a barrier to rehabilitation service provi- sion because it is limited: "Another thing is what I noticed in this hospital is there's lack of infrastructure and resources; like for occupational therapy you're sup- posed to have, let's say for example a kitchen you can use to train". (reha- bilitation services practitioner).	Although partici- pants reported the presence of various items of infrastruc- tural equipment, focus groups and interviews detailed how most of this infrastructure is old and dilapidated and limited.

for example, the physiotherapist from the occupational therapist [4, 5]. Here it should be noted that the national rehabilitation policy 2000 emphasizes the development of rehabilitation units that are accessible to communities.

The public health sector can heed lessons from the private sector which possesses such units in most districts where they service rehabilitation patients referred from acute private hospitals. These intermediate care units synchronize all rehabilitation service providers in one referral protocol by doctors [20]. The study's results are consistent with existing literature that emphasizes the significance of a well-functioning primary healthcare system, comprehensive care, and integrated referral pathways [20]. Considering these results, the study recommends a collaborative approach to redesigning and managing a new model for rehabilitation service regarding diagnosis-related groupers, which in most cases comprise the major membership of critical rehabilitation services in KZN.

The continued institutionalization of rehabilitation services affects the referral pathways for patients. Most referral occurs between and within hospitals and local clinics. However, no standardized protocol exists; the results show disjointed and inadequate referral pathways in the three KZN districts in this study. The over-emphasis on biomedical stabilization at the hospital level causes delays for rehabilitation patients. The study highlights the discretion of doctors in controlling the accessibility of rehabilitation services for patients. The dependence on doctors' awareness and decisions regarding rehabilitation services referrals can lead to variations in care delivery, potentially affecting the continuity of rehabilitation services [12, 28]." One practitioner for instance stated: "It means if the doctor forgets to refer to the patient and for whatever reason, or is not aware of the availability of the rehabilitation in that hospital then that patient will be missed in the system to receive rehabilitation services".

Poor referral pathways in KZN are further compounded by unsatisfactory awareness of rehabilitation services by doctors and poor patient data record-keeping. One practitioner pointed out: "Record-keeping by the Department of Health (DoH) for rehabilitation data is nebulous and not meaningful". Another practitioner indicated that rehabilitation service patient data collection is not much more than "head counts". Lack of rehabilitation service awareness can lead to patients being prematurely discharged and acquiring avoidable physical complications.

Therefore, we often get patients who are close to the discharge date and you don't have enough time to do all that you can. They say, 'social worker, tomorrow they are leaving' (Rehabilitation practitioner E3).

unction & Hisability

Table 4. Combined display of results on referral pathways for rehabilitation services in eThekwini Metropolis, King Cetshwayo district Municipality, and the Amajuba district Municipality

The	eme	Quantitative (Survey)		Qualitative	Meta-inference
	Acute referrals	The majority (88%) of the 42 partici- pants indicated that they received acute referrals at their settings. A marginal difference was observed in the acute referrals patterns between the public sector (90%) compared to the private sector (82%) but no major difference between rural areas (89%) and semi- urban areas (90%)	Common referral methods	Referrals occur in various ways: Doctor's discretion, MDT ward rounds, intra- disciplinary referral, referral condition-based. Some cases were found with assistance from CHWs in rural areas.	
.hways	Referring facilities	Hospitals (88%) were the most common source of cases referred for rehabilita- tion services, followed by private prac- tice (74%) and clinics (67%).	Timeous referral charac- teristics	Public health institutions refer rehabilitation services cases to one another. Depending on the numbers of patients and available practitioners, clients receive care at the moment of referral. Health institutions in KZN refer the patient to an institution as close as possible to where the patient lives.	Referral pathways for rehabili- tation services in KZN exist but are disjointed without a cen- tral referral protocol. Referrals
ormation	Referral protocol elements	Usage of an outward/inward referral form was confirmed by 88% of the 42 study participants while communication with the receiving or outward facility was cited by 64%. Other elements of the referral protocol that were reported were the collection of statistics (55%), referral register (48%) and client and family support information (40%).	Delayed referral	Some clients receive delayed rehabilitation services care due to caregivers not being able to recognize a medical condition that requires reha- bilitation. Lack of administra- tive staff leads to long waiting hours. Some patients needing audiology intervention receive it 10 years later.	are delayed by doctor's discre- tion, not enough rehabilita- tion services practitioners, unskilled caregivers, and a lack of administrative staff.
	Facility referral information contents	Of the 42 participants, their setting's referral systems allowed for the type of client referred (95%), time spent in the continuum of care (43%) and frequency of visits (40%).	Failed referrals	Referral pathways are poor at identifying clients who require rehabilitation ser- vices. Doctors are unaware of rehabilitation services, mis- understanding rehabilitation in healthcare. CBR has led to unskilled personnel missing patients in local areas, thus breaking the chain in the continuum of care.	

Abbreviations: MDT: Multidisciplinary practice; CHWs: Community health workers; KZN: KwaZulu-Natal; CBR: Community-based rehabilitation.

The introduction of a standardized referral protocol ought to exist across doctors, nurses and administrative staff [25]. Relevant training and workshops are required to ensure that all stakeholders know when and how to use the standard referral protocol. Monitoring and evaluation of the referral protocol needs to be followed up at the management level. Inadequate referral patterns can lead to decreased utilization of rehabilitation services, impacting patient outcomes [12, 28].

Respondents indicated the presence of most rehabilitation services practitioners, but multidisciplinary practice is minimal. The study reveals that while physiotherapists and occupational therapists are well-represented, other crucial disciplines, such as dietitians, speech therapists, audiologists, social workers, psychologists, and biokineticists are notably under-represented. The provincial representative for rehabilitation services in KZN spoke at length about how this influences patients who do not have financial resources:

"Yes, they must, we encourage them to work together you know we're talking about patients who are struggling economically so when they come, we try to provide them with all the services, therefore they don't have to be coming to the facility to get one service and then come back and get another service. Therefore, we en-



courage them to work comprehensively; but it's (Provincial representative H2).

"- It's ideal for me because on the ground because I work directly with disability. When you engage with the patients through the community-based rehabilitation (CBR) workers you find that social workers are not part of the team (Provincial representative H1).

This skewed distribution highlights existing shortages or imbalances in the availability of different types of rehabilitation service disciplines, which impacts the comprehensiveness and effectiveness of rehabilitation service care [4-6, 13-16, 18]. The patients are sometimes referred to receive rehabilitation services, but unfortunately, few or no providers of the required rehabilitation service exist in a given hospital or setting.

As stated above, referral usually occurs within hospitals in the form of ward rounds, but such ward rounds are seldom performed with a multidisciplinary approach. A critical concern emerging from the study is the high therapist-to-patient ratio reported in both public and private care settings [4-6, 13-16, 18]. This disparity can strain rehabilitation service professionals, negatively affecting the quality and timeliness of rehabilitation service care provided to patients in KZN. High rehabilitation patient numbers hamper adequate multidisciplinary practice because too few practitioners exist to provide time to service all patients within a multidisciplinary approach.

**Table 5.** Combined display of results on human resources for rehabilitation services and multidisciplinary practice in eThekwini Metropolis,

 King Cetshwayo District Municipality, and the Amajuba District Municipality (n=42)

The		Quantitative	Qualitativa	Meta-inference	
Ine	eme	No. (%)	Quantative		
	Support staff avail- Sector of employment ability	King Cetshway district: Private: 11(26.2) Public: 11(26.2) Amajuba District: Private: 0(0) Public: 9(21.4) eThekwini: Private: 0 Public: 11(26.2) Total: Private 11(26.2) Public 31(73.8) Nurses: 37(88) Admin staff: 35(83) Security: 36(86) Cleaners: 38(90) Public: Many patients, few practi- tioners 39(93%).	Adequacy of human resources: Chronic rehabilitation staff shortage; many pa- tients, too few practitioners; vacant leadership positions for rehabilitation; Supportive human resource.practices: Constant University consultation on practitio- ner training. Unsupportive human resource practice:	Quantitative results show available rehabilitation services practitioners; how- ever, focus groups and interviews show that these practitioners are few. Most glaringly, social workers, biokineticists, speech therapists, and psychologists are the least available practitioners. Support staff are available, but most are labour-brokered and there is a par- ticular shortage of administrative staff. Practitioners end up	
Human re	Human resources Practitioner/patient ratio by sector	Enough practitioners 3(7). Private: Many patients, few practi- tioners 31(73.8). Enough practitio-ners 11(26.2). Practitioner/patient ratio by geo- graphical location: Rural: Many patients, few practi- tioners 37(88). Enough practitioners 5(12). Semi-urban area: Many patients, few practitioners 38(90). Enough practitioners 4(10). Total: Many patients, few practitioners 36(87). Enough practitioners 6(13). Human resources rating score: n=42 Mean=2.6	Frozen vacant rehabilitation posts; rehabilita- tion not prioritised Limited funds for rehabilitation HR Labour brokering; rehabilitation practitioners substituted by CRWs. High comm serve turnover. Insufficient in-service training Rehabilitation managed by medical profes- sions.	doing admin instead of clinical practice. There are many	



Then	ne	Quantitative No. (%)		Qualitative	Meta-inference
	Disciplinary collabora- tion frequency	Physiotherapist 36(86); Social workers 35(83); Speech therapists/audiologists 33(79); Nutritionists 29(69); Occupational therapists 28(66) Psychologists 27(64); Community rehabilitation workers 10(24).	MDT importance	Improves quality of care. Rehabilita- tion costs are saved when patients are cared for by MDT.	Multidisciplinary prac- tice is minimal and not meaningful in KZN rehabilitation services practice. Although re- habilitation disciplines
Multidisciplinary practice	Multidisciplinary assessment	Individual 18(43); Multidisciplinary team 6(14); Both individual & MDT 22(52); Others 2(5).	Impediments to multidisci- MDT current practice plinary practice	MDT meetings and clinical notes are used as current MDT practice. Advice sought from specialists. Some hospi- tals perform ward rounds as a team. Admission/discharge MDT meetings. Clinical manager meetings with allied disciplines. Mobile visits by MDT hospital team. Doctors have the power of referral, which is often delayed. Doctors are held in higher esteem than rehabilita- tion services disciplines. Rehabilita- tion services disciplines. Rehabilita- tion services disciplines are available but do not work as team. Chronic rehabilitation services staff short- ages. Personal egos lead to working in exclusion.	exist, they are few and almost always situ- ated only in hospitals. Rehabilitation services staff shortages make MDT very limited. Currently, DoH in KZN depends on periodi- cal allied meetings as a credible source of MDT. Quality MDT care in KZN primarily in private rehabilitation services care.

Abbreviations: MDT: Multidisciplinary practice; KZN: KwaZulu-Natal; HR: Health resources; CRWs: Community rehabilitation workers.

One practitioner stated: "I think what counts against us is time because we're short-staffed, we don't have the time to sit together and do that planning (Practitioner P2).

Patients without receiving multidisciplinary rehabilitation services face premature discharge due to bed demand. This issue leads to avoidable complications, such as contractures. If these patients are fortunate, they are later identified by community rehabilitation workers. However, limited knowledge in providing rehabilitation services by community rehabilitation workers leads to unsatisfactory results. Administrative staff shortages complicate the situation further as they coordinate referrals between disciples. Low administrative staff numbers lead to an inefficient referral process, resulting in rehabilitation services patients being lost in the system.

Furthermore, the study underscores the fragmented nature of rehabilitation service disciplines, particularly in rural areas. This fragmentation poses challenges in delivering holistic and comprehensive rehabilitation service care, which is essential to address the diverse needs of patients. One practitioner stated: "Public rehabilitation overwhelmed, almost no time for MDT." The shortage of rehabilitation service providers, coupled with the fragmented nature of services, can contribute to inequities in rehabilitation service delivery, particularly between urban and rural populations in the province.

The study underscores the need for policy development, rehabilitation service skill enhancement, and quality assurance mechanisms to support the proposed intermediate care units. By leveraging the strengths of both the public and private sectors, this model has the potential to address the challenges identified in the study and improve the accessibility and quality of rehabilitation healthcare services in KZN.

# Conclusion

This study's analysis sheds light on the intricacies of rehabilitation healthcare service provision in KZN, South Africa. The challenges identified regarding referral pathways, discipline distribution, high therapist-to-patient ratios, lack of designated rehabilitation service units, and rural disparities in rehabilitation services access underscore the urgency for a collaborative effort for innovative solutions. By addressing these challenges and implementing the proposed model of district-based intermediate care units, policymakers, healthcare institutions and stakehold-



ers can work together to enhance rehabilitation service delivery and ensure equitable access to quality services within the province. It is hoped that this study will serve as a foundation for future initiatives aimed at transforming and improving rehabilitation services in the region.

# **Ethical Considerations**

#### Compliance with ethical guidelines

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of University of KwaZulu-Natal's Biomedical Research (Code: BREC/00001338/2020). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants after the purpose of the study was explained. Additionally, the participants' permission to audio-visually-record interviews was obtained. The study adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### Funding

The paper was extracted from the PhD dissertartion of Senzelwe M. Mazibuko, approved by Department of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal and the author received tuition remission for PhD studies University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa.

#### **Authors' contributions**

Data collection, data analysis and writing the original draft: Senzelwe M. Mazibuko; Review and editing: Thayananthee Nadasan and Pragashnie Govender; Conceptualization and final approval: All authors.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Republic of South Africa, Department of Health. Rehabilitation for all: National Rehabilitation Policy. Pretoria: Government Printers; 2000. [Link]
- [2] United Nations. United nations convention on the rights of persons with disabilities [Internet]. 2006 [Updated 2024 June 23]. Available from: [Link]
- [3] Visagie S, Scheffler E, Schneider M. Policy implementation in wheelchair service delivery in a rural South African setting. Afr J Disabil. 2013; 2(1):63. [DOI:10.4102/ajod.v2i1.63] [PMID] [PM-CID]

- [4] Visagie S, Swartz L. Rural South Africans' rehabilitation experiences: Case studies from the Northern Cape Province. S Afr J Physiother. 2016; 72(1):298. [DOI:10.4102/sajp.v72i1.298] [PMID] [PMCID]
- [5] Naidoo U, Ennion L. Barriers and facilitators to utilisation of rehabilitation services amongst persons with lower-limb amputations in a rural community in South Africa. Prosthet Orthot Int. 2019; 43(1):95-103. [DOI:10.1177/0309364618789457] [PMID]
- [6] Sherry K. Disability and rehabilitation: Essential considerations for equitable, accessible and poverty-reducing health care in South Africa. South Afr Health Rev. 2014; 2014(1):89-99. [Link]
- [7] World Health Organization (WHO). Rehabilitation in health systems. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017. [Link]
- [8] Louw QA, Conradie T, Xuma-Soyizwapi N, Davis-Ferguson M, White J, Stols M, et al. Rehabilitation capacity in South Africa-A situational analysis. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2023; 20(4):3579. [DOI:10.3390/ijerph20043579] [PMID] [PMCID]
- [9] National Department of Health. Framework and strategy for disability and rehabilitation services in South Africa 2015-2020. Pretoria: National Department of Health; 2015. [Link]
- [10] Louw Q, Grimmer K, Berner K, Conradie T, Bedada DT, Jesus TS. Towards a needs-based design of the physical rehabilitation workforce in South Africa: trend analysis [1990-2017] and a 5-year forecasting for the most impactful health conditions based on global burden of disease estimates. BMC Public Health. 2021; 21(1):913. [DOI:10.1186/s12889-021-10962-y] [PMID] [PMCID]
- [11] Ntsiea V, Mudzi W, Maleka D, Comley-White N, Pilusa S. Barriers and facilitators of using outcome measures in stroke rehabilitation in South Africa. Int J Ther Rehabil. 2022; 29(2):1-15. [DOI:10.12968/ ijtr.2020.0126]
- [12] Conradie T, Charumbira M, Bezuidenhout M, Leong T, Louw Q. Rehabilitation and primary care treatment guidelines, South Africa. Bull World Health Organ. 2022; 100(11):689-98. [DOI:10.2471/ BLT.22.288337] [PMID] [PMCID]
- [13] Dayal H. Provision of rehabilitation services within the District Health System-the experience of rehabilitation managers in facilitating this right for people with disabilities. South Afr J Occup Ther. 2010; 40(1):22-6. [Link]
- [14] Kahonde C, Mlenzana N, Rhoda A. Persons with physical disabilities' experiences of rehabilitation services at community health centres in Cape Town. South Afr J Physiother. 2010; 66(3):2-7. [DOI:10.4102/sajp.v66i3.67]
- [15] Mji G, Chappell P, Statham S, Mlenzana N, Goliath C, De Wet C, et al. Understanding the current discourse of rehabilitation: With reference to disability models and rehabilitation policies for evaluation research in the South African Setting. South Afr J Physiother. 2013; 69(2):a22. [DOI:10.4102/sajp.v69i2.22]
- [16] Suchman L, Hart E, Montagu D. Public-private partnerships in practice: collaborating to improve health finance policy in Ghana and Kenya. Health Policy Plan. 2018; 33(7):777-85. [DOI:10.1093/ heapol/czy064] [PMID] [PMCID]
- [17] World Health Organization (WHO). Disability. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2022. [Link]
- [18] World Health Organization (WHO). World report on disability Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2011. [Link]

Function & Hisability

- [19] Mauk KL. Rehabilitation nursing: A contemporary approach to practice. Burlington: Jones & Bartlett Learning; 2012. [Link]
- [20] A Mabunda S, London L, Pienaar D. An evaluation of the role of an intermediate care facility in the continuum of care in Western Cape, South Africa. Int J Health Policy Manag. 2018; 7(2):167-79. [DOI:10.15171/ijhpm.2017.52] [PMID] [PMCID]
- [21] Magaqa Q, Ariana P, Polack S. Examining the availability and accessibility of rehabilitation services in a rural district of South Africa: A mixed-methods study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021; 18(9):4692. [DOI:10.3390/ijerph18094692] [PMID] [PMCID]
- [22] Kula N, Fryatt RJ. Public-private interactions on health in South Africa: Opportunities for scaling up. Health Policy Plan. 2014; 29(5):560-9. [DOI:10.1093/heapol/czt042] [PMID]
- [23] Walwyn DR, Nkolele AT. An evaluation of South Africa's publicprivate partnership for the localisation of vaccine research, manufacture and distribution. Health Res Policy Syst. 2018; 16(1):30. [DOI:10.1186/s12961-018-0303-3] [PMID] [PMCID]
- [24] Hanass-Hancock J, Nene S, Deghaye N, Pillay S. 'These are not luxuries, it is essential for access to life': Disability related out-ofpocket costs as a driver of economic vulnerability in South Africa. Afr J Disabil. 2017; 6:280. [DOI:10.4102/ajod.v6i0.280] [PMID] [PMCID]
- [25] Myezwa H, Van Niekerk M. National health insurance implications for rehabilitation professionals and service delivery. South Afr J Physiother. 2013; 69(4):3-9. [DOI:10.4102/sajp.v69i4.372]
- [26] Government Gazette. White paper on the rights of persons with disabilities. Pretoria: Government Gazette; 2016. [Link]
- [27] Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual Res Psychol. 2006; 3(2):77-101. [DOI:10.1191/1478088706qp063 oa]
- [28] van Biljon H, van Niekerk L, Margot-Cattin I, Adams F, Plastow N, Bellagamba D, et al. The health equity characteristics of research exploring the unmet community mobility needs of older adults: a scoping review. BMC Geriatr. 2022; 22(1):808. [DOI:10.1186/s12877-022-03492-8] [PMID] [PMCID]



# **Appendix A: Data collection tool**

#### Introduction

Dear participant. You have been invited to be a part of this Doctoral research study because you are a medical rehabilitation practitioner in the King Cetshwayo District, Amajuba District, or the eThekwini Municipality District. This survey is a part of the study's data collection. The study aims to create a model for the provision of medical rehabilitation services that are timeous, equitable, multidisciplinary and accessible to as many clients at the district and local level of care.

Your participation in this field research will provide quantitative expert opinion on medical rehabilitation from those who provide it on a daily basis. This survey will be used for data on the status of rehabilitation, equitable access to medical rehabilitation, multidisciplinary practice and the national health insurance's consideration of medical rehabilitation. This survey will gather information on socio-demographics, human resources for medical rehabilitation, referral pathways, rehabilitation facility information, quality control processes and service delivery. The subjective, personal and qualitative experiences gained from this interview will inform the researcher construct a model for District-based medical rehabilitation care.

#### Objectives

To conduct a scoping review of PPP usage for rehabilitation service delivery in KZN.

Establish referral pathway practices for rehabilitation service, to establish current human resource practices for rehabilitation, and to establish current access to rehabilitation services in KZN.

Improve human resources for rehabilitation by reengineering multidisciplinary practice in KZN. Improve access to rehabilitation infrastructure through the intermediate care approach at the district health system.

Ascertain and forecast cost risks to rehabilitation services from RAF and COIDA case managers and compensation office representative respectively.

Review level to which rehabilitation is considered by NHI Bill with participants. Assess participants' views on operational mechanisms of universally covered rehabilitation services. Solicit expertise and the experiences of rehabilitation practitioners, managers, NGOs and patients respectively to develop model of rehabilitation service provision in KZN.

To identify strategies for stakeholder uptake and potential threats to the implementation of the model.

**EXCLUSION CRITERIA** 

Function & Olisability

INCLUSION CRITERIA

All private and public health sector medical rehabilitation prac- titioners working within the eThekwini municipality, King Cetsh- wayo District Municipality and the Amajuba District Municipality who usually deal with rehabilitation cases due to road accidents and occupational injuries.			ners who are not working within the eThekwini Municipality, Kin Cetshwayo District Municipality and the Amajuba District Munici					
All medical practitioners th plines (physiotherapy, occu work, dietetics/ nutrition, I ologists).	upational thera	py, psychology,	, social	ciplines (	physiothe	erapy, occupation	nal therapy,	e rehabilitation dis psychology, socia therapists & audi
		Socio	-demog	graphic Pro	ofile			
Please mark with an (X)	in the approp		•	our respor provided	nse on ea	ich question, if	other pleas	e specify on the
Age								
Home language	IsiZulu	English	Afrika	ans S	Sesotho	Siswati	Setswana	Other:
Gender	1- Female			:	2- Male			Other:
Race								
Highest Educational qualification								
Number of years/months in current position								
Total years in experience								
Which sector of health are you currently em- ployed in?	Pub	lic		Private		Both		
		Human Resour	rces for	Medical R	ehabilita	tion		
Please ma	ark the box (X)	which best co	rrespor	nds with yo	our respo	onse to each qu	uestion/iten	ı.
Are all disciplines of medic bilitation present at your s		- None present	:	2- PT & OT	Only	3- Most are p	resent 4	- All are present
Are practitioners consult	ed on	1- Not sure		2- No			3 - Vos	

Are all disciplines of medical reha- bilitation present at your setting?	1- None present	2- PT & OT Only	3- Most are present	4- All are present
Are practitioners consulted on recruitment of new staff?	1- Not sure	2- No	3 -	Yes
What do you think of the level of experience of rehabilitation staff in your setting?	1- Not sure	2- Experienced	3- Partly inexperi- enced	4- Highly experienced
What is the nature of Doctor to Patient ratio at your setting?	1- Many patients, very few practitioners	2- Many practi- tioners, very few patients	3- Many practi- tioners, very few patients	4- Practitioners enough to handle patient load
How satisfied are you with your salary package?	1- Very unsatisfied	2- Not satisfied	3- Moderately satis- fied	4- Very satisfied
Rate the quality of the rehabilitation staff at your setting.	1- Poor quality	2- Moderate quality	3- Acceptable quality	4- Excellent quality
Please indicate availability of follow- ing support staff at your setting :	1- Unavailable	2- Unreliably avail- able	3- Available	
Professional nursing staff				
Administrative staff				
Security services				
Cleaners				
How much administrative work do practitioners have to do before providing rehabilitation service?	1- None	2- Very little	3- A lot	4- A very large per- centage





		Referra	Pathways					
Please mark with a	n (X) in the appropria	te box to indicate	your response, if other plea	se specify on the spac	e provided.			
How does your setting receive its clients?	1- Walk-in/Self- referral	2- Referral	3- Other:					
Does your s	setting receive acute ref	errals?	1- Yes		2- No			
Who are the usual initiating facilities that refer rehabilita- tion cases to your setting?	1- Clinic	2- Hospital	3- Private practice facility	4- Community Re- habilitation Centre	- Other			
Does your setting use s protoc		1- Yes		2- No				
		1- (	Dutward/inward referral form					
Please indicate		2- Commun	ication with receiving/outward	facility				
whether your setting 's referral		3- Informatio	n for client and family/support	network				
protocol has the fol- lowing (tick all that	4- Collect of statistics							
apply):	5- Referral register to monitor follow-ups							
		6- Other (specify)						
Does your setting 's	1- Indication of type of client referred (orthopaedic, neural, chest, musculoskeletal etc.)							
referral system allow for the following	2- An indication of the frequency of visits and/or follow-ups							
(please tick where applicable):	3- An indication of time spent with patient starting from admission							
			4- Other specify					
		Facility I	nformation					
			1- Rural area					
What is the geo- graphical location			2- Semi-urban area					
of your current setting?			3- Urban area					
			4- Other (specify):					
		1- Exclus	sively medical rehabilitation fac	ility				
What type of set-			2- Part of public hospital					
ting is your current institution?			3- Part of private hospital					
(please tick where applicable):			4- Part of a clinic					
			5- Single private practice					
			6- Other (specify)					



What approaches across the Primary Healthcare continuum does your setting use, select all that apply from the list below?

Please mark the practitioners you usually work in collaboration with at your current setting (mark all that apply)

Indicate whether the following infrastructure is available at your current setting 1 – Prevention

2 - Health promotion

3 – Curative

4 – Rehabilitation

5 – Palliative

6 - Health education

- 7 Other (specify)
- 1 Physiotherapists
- 2 Occupational therapists
- 3 Dieticians/nutritionist
  - 4 Psychologists
  - 5 Social workers
  - 6 Biokineticists
- 7 Speech therapist/ audiologist
  - 8- Rehabilitation assistants
- 9 Community Health Workers
- 10- Community Rehabilitation workers
  - 11 Other (specify)
    - 1-Gym
- 2- Ample working space for consultations
  - 3- Plinths
  - 4- Assistive devices
    - 5-Treadmill
      - 6- Bike
  - 7- Suspension therapy
    - 8- Parallel bars
    - 9- Wall bar
  - 10 Walking frame
  - 11- Hydrotherapy
  - 12- Crutches
  - 13 -Tilting bed
  - 14-Other (specify)

2024, Volume 7

Please select all factors of disability rehabilitation that are considered by your setting's programme as advised by the international classification of functioning, disability and health (ICF) assessed individually & as a multidisciplinary team? Select all applicable options, more than one option may be selected:

How is rehabilitation assessed at your setting? Please select from the following list.



Patients impairments
 Participation restrictions

3 - Activity limitations

4 - Contextual factors of patient's personal environment

5 - Multidisciplinary assessment & treatment

6 - Other (Specify)

1 - Individually

2 - As a multidisciplinary team

3 - Both individual& multidisciplinary team

4 - Other (specify)

Quality Control Process				
Rate your setting's of quality service by responding with the level to which you agree/disagree with each of the following statements below. (Please select appropriate answer from the options below with an X):	Strongly Disagree	Dis- agree	Agree	Strongly Agree
Our setting gets sufficient support from its suppliers.				
Managerial support is very good at my setting.				
The equipment used at my rehabilitation setting is sufficient and appropriate.				
I feel as if the service we deliver at my setting is of good quality.				
I feel satisfied with my remuneration package compared to my peers elsewhere				
When patients are unhappy with our setting's service, they have recourse mechanisms to follow				
My setting supports the continuous professional development of its staff practitioners				
Regular meetings are held between staff and management on staff grievances				
Our rehabilitation setting's practices are in line- with the most current quality assur- ance standards of its peers.				
Please rate the ease of bureaucracy at your setting by indicating the level to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements.((Please select appropriate answer from the options below with an X?)				
Practitioners do very little administrative work at my setting.				
As a practitioner, I do not have to receive permission from many people in order to take an important medical decision.				
At my setting, practitioners are overloaded with paperwork.				
There is available support staff at my setting therefore practitioners do rehabilitation work and not much else.				



Does your setting has a maintenance protocol?	1- Not sure	2- No	3- Partially	4- Yes			
			Hospital ward				
	Hospital outpatients						
What are your areas	Clinic						
of rehabilitation service delivery?			Outreach				
(select all which ap- ply to you)			School environment				
			Industrial setting				
			Other (specify)				
What do	you think is the aver	age number of reha	bilitation clients your setting recei	ves per week?			
			Mostly paper-driven				
		Mostly paper	-driven with some electronic means				
What is the main mode of administra-	Almost completely electronic						
tion at your setting?	We only use an electronic administrative system						
	Other (specify)						
F	Please answer the fol	llowing questions b	y selecting the most appropriate o	ption.			
Does your setting have a mechanism for patients to lay grievance? Does your setting	1- Yes	2- No	3- Not that I am aware of	4 - Other (specify):			
have a mechanism for practitioners to lay grievance	1- Yes	2- No	3- Not that I am aware of	4 - Other (specify):			
queries? Does your setting provide feedback to patients regarding quality control?	1- Yes	2- No 3- Other (specify):					
		Service I	Delivery				
Please	answer the following q	uestions regarding yo	ur setting's service delivery in the spa	ces provided			
	Please approximation	ate the total number	of new patients you receive per week				
Please ap	proximate the total nu	mber of patients who	receive on-going treatment at your se	etting per week			
Which medi	cal issues do clients m	ostly present with, ple	ease select from the following list (sele	ect all that apply):			
		1- Ne	eural				
		2- Ortho	opaedic				
		3- Muscula	ar-skeletal				
		4- Cog					

- 5- Speech/Audio
- 6- Other (specify)

2024, Volume 7



of rehabilita	a database to keep rec ation service?	ord 1- Not s	ure 2 - N	o 3-	- Yes O	her (Specify):
How often is rehabilitat service evaluated at yo setting?		2- Monthly	3= Quarterly	4- Annually	5- Not sure	6- Other (specify)
Rate the level of your av (0= Poor knowledge;	1= Little knowledge, o	nly know worki		knowledge, can di		
		-	abilitation policy (	0,		
	Conventi	on on the right:	s of persons with c	lisabilities (CRPD)		
		National h	ealth insurance (N	IHI)		
What are	e the most common de	elays to patient	service delivery (P	Please provide a sh	ort specific answer	)?
н	low do you usually get	feedback from	patients (Please p	rovide a short spe	cific answer)?	
What is the nature of feedback you personally get from patients?	1- Mostly negative	2- Mostl	y positive	:	3- Cannot answer	
Do patients tend to follow-up at your setting?	1- No they do not	2- Ver	y rarely	3	- Most of the time	
	Do you think service	delivery at your	facility can improv	ve? (If so, please ex	xplain how)	
Rate the medical reha your level of agreement,						
	My setting is situa	ated in an area	where rehabilitation	on service is most-	needed	
	Most clients who a	re serviced by	my setting do not	live more than 15 l	km away	
Tra	nsport routes from su	rrounding areas	are safe and relia	ble for clients to re	each my setting	
The level o	f care we provide can	be afforded by	most clients in the	community where	e my setting is situa	ted.
My setting's ser	vices are available to a	II members of t	he community wh	no most need it, re	gardless of their so	cial class.
	The quality of reha	abilitation servio	ce provided by my	setting is of a good	d quality	
R	ehabilitation program	mes at my setti	ng almost always o	do what they are ir	ntended to do	
The results attained by	my setting's programs	are proportion	ate in terms of eff utilized	ort expended, mo	ney spent, resource	s used and time
				-  - 1114 - 41		
Rate the NHI's readine			Insurance & Reh		imber that most co	rresponds with
your level of agreement, Government's intention	/disagreement with th to provide free medic	e following stat al healthcare fo	ements (1= Strong	gly disagree; 2= Dis gh the National He	agree; 3= Agree; St	rongly agree= 4)
	The NHI has mu	ch strength in a	country and cont	ext such as South A	Africa.	
	The basic practicalit	ies of the NHI a	re clear, well thou	ght-out and impler	mentable.	
The	South African Govern	ment places a v	isibly high priority	on medical rehabi	litation service?	
The NHI pla	ices a high level of con	sideration on re	habilitation, a me	chanism for impro	ving the Health sys	tem.
	Carefully read	I the following o	questions and answ	wer them accordin	gly.	
What is the lev	el of your understandi	ng of the term	"public private par	rtnership" (PPP)? T	ick the appropriate	option.
			Very poor			

unction & Hisability

Good

#### Very good

Other (specify):

If your answer to the question above is "good", you can proceed and answer the questions below.

Name ONE way in which private healthcare can collaborate with Government to improve public healthcare can help improve availability of quality rehabilitation services:

Select the statement which best represents your opinion on PPPs being a tool for improving availability of medical rehabilitation services to the most-needy

1- Not sure, my knowledge is not informed enough

2- No, PPPs cannot improve availability of rehabilitation services because Public health is too dysfunctional.

3- Yes PPPs can improve rehabilitation service availability, but it will take time and work.

4- Other, specify:

If you are aware of any completed PPP project in the health sector, please rate the level of its success by choosing from the following options

Good

Bad

Not aware of any completed PPP in health sector

Other, specify:

What is your opinion of government's proposal for PPPs to be a mechanism for implementing the national health insurance (NHI)

Realistic & achievable

Not informed enough to have opinion

Unrealistic & unachievable

Other, specify: